## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

Case No.	CV 24-1314 FMO (ASx)	Date	May 8, 2024	
Title	Jessica Blum v. Wondershare Technology Group Co., Ltd, et al.			

Present: The Honorable	Fernando M. Olguin, United States District Judge		
Vanessa Figueroa		None Present	
Deputy Clerk		Court Reporter / Recorder	
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:		Attorneys Present for Defendants:	
None Present		None Present	

Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal Re: Lack of Prosecution

Absent a showing of good cause, an action must be dismissed without prejudice if the summons and complaint are not served on a defendant within 90 days after the complaint is filed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Generally, a defendant must answer the complaint within 21 days after service (60 days if the defendant is the United States). Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a). The court may dismiss the action prior to the 90 days, however, if plaintiff(s) has/have not diligently prosecuted the action. With respect to service of individuals and/or business entities in a foreign country, plaintiff shall exercise all reasonable diligence and attempt service within the 90-day time period.

In the present case, it appears that one or more of these time periods has not been met. Accordingly, the court, on its own motion, orders plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing on or before **May 15, 2024**, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 78(b), the court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument. The Order to Show Cause will stand submitted upon the filing of:

- Proof(s) of service of summons and complaint on the following defendant(s): Wondershare Technology Group Co., Ltd, Wondshare Technology Co., Ltd., Wondershare Global Limited, Wonson Global Enterprises, Inc., Wondershare Technology Group Co., Limited, Wondershare Software Co., Ltd., Smartzen Limited, Shenzhen Wondershare Information Technology Co., Ltd.
- An answer by the following defendant(s): Vbroadcast Co., Ltd, Wondershare Technology Group Co., Ltd, Wondshare Technology Co., Ltd., Wondershare Global Limited, Wonson Global Enterprises, Inc., Wondershare Technology Group Co., Limited, Wondershare Software Co., Ltd., Smartzen Limited, Shenzhen Wondershare Information Technology Co., Ltd.
- Plaintiff's application for entry of default pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a):
  Vbroadcast Co., Ltd

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

Case No.	CV 24-1314 FMO (ASx)	Date	May 8, 2024		
Title	Jessica Blum v. Wondershare Technology Group Co., Ltd, et al.				

on or before the date indicated above. Failure to file a timely response to this Order to Show Cause shall result in the action or the above defendant(s) being dismissed for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the orders of the court. <u>See</u> Local Rule 41; Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 & 41(b); Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S.Ct. 1386, 1388 (1962).

	00	_ : _	00
Initials of Preparer		vdr	